



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SW  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,764	05/04/2001	Sakae Ishikawa	207187US2	7828
22850	7590	12/12/2003	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			BUTLER, MICHAEL E	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3653		

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

09/848 764

SW

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	09/848764
	Examiner Michael E. Butler	Art Unit 3653	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael E. Butler(3) Ed Garlepp(2) Donald P. Walsh

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Nov 20, 2003

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2 & 11

Identification of prior art discussed: Tanaka

\_\_\_\_\_

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the 11292d including maintain. Cl 1c marsh rejection. Discussed Amending claims to include reconfiguring the 1st rack position will to overcome the Tanaka rejections. Examiner will consider when filed.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

  
**DONALD P. WALSH**  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required